

San Francisco County Superior County

OCT 27 2023

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT 304

JONATHAN SHOMRONI, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

iarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

FEI LABS INC., a Delaware Corporation, JOSEPH SANTORO, an Individual, BRIANNA MONTGOMERY, an Individual, SEBASTIAN DELGADO, an Individual, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-22-598995

JUDGMENT

II IDOMENT

Based upon, and subject to the Second Amended Stipulation of Settlement dated October 26, 2023 (the "Stipulation") approved by this Court in the Litigation, and the Court's Final Approval Order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, as submitted to the Court as Exhibit A to the October 26, 2023 Declaration of William R. Restis In Support of Plaintiff's Supplemental Filing for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. All capitalized terms used in this Judgment shall have the meanings and/or definitions given to them in the Stipulation unless otherwise defined herein.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation, the parties, all Class Members, and over those persons and entities undertaking affirmative obligations to effectuate the Settlement, including the Claims Administrator and Escrow Agent.
 - 3. The Class Members subject to and bound by this Final Judgment are:
 All Persons who, directly or through an intermediary, purchased the digital assets
 "FEI" and "TRIBE" in exchange for ETH as part of the Genesis Group between
 March 31, 2021 and April 3, 2021, including those who "pre-swapped" their
 Genesis Group FEI token allocation for TRIBE tokens.

Excluded from the Class subject to and bound by this Judgment are: (i) Defendants; (ii) any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity directly affiliated with any Defendant; and (iii) any justice or judicial officer presiding over this matter and members of their immediate families and judicial staff.

- 4. The following Class Member, the Opt Out, is hereby excluded from any and all terms of the Stipulation and Settlement, including the releases contained therein: Evgeny Boxer, Unit 7 2-12 Crows Nest Road, Waverton NSW 2060, Australia.
- 5. No Class Member objected to the Settlement following a full and fair opportunity to participate.
- 6. The Settlement Amount is \$17,850,000. In its Final Approval Order, the Court authorized the following distributions from the Settlement Amount: (1) \$4,462,500 in attorneys' fees; (2) \$50,713.27 in litigation expenses; and (3) a \$5,000 Service Award to the Class

1	Repres
2	\$281,0
3	
4	Memb
5	Stipula
6	
7	hereby
8	releasi
9	with P
10	
11	claim,
12	and are
13	}
14	Califo
15	for pu
16	Settler
17	Fund,
18	relatin
19	
20	rule 3.
21	
22	
23	
24	Dated:
25	
26	
27	
20	1

Representative. Additionally, the Court capped Notice and Administration Expenses at \$281,000.00.

- 7. The Claims Administrator is directed to calculate Claims submitted by Class Members and distribute the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants in accordance with the Stipulation and Plan of Allocation.
- 8. The Releases set forth in Paragraphs 1.32-1.35 and 4.1-4.8 of the Stipulation are hereby expressly incorporated into this Judgment, and are effective as of the Effective Date, fully releasing and forever discharging the Released Parties from the Released Claims in accordance with Paragraphs 1.32-1.35 and 4.1-4.8 of the Stipulation.
- 9. This Judgment is, and may be raised as, a complete defense to and precludes any claim, action, or proceeding encompassed by the Releases, which are set forth in the Stipulation, and are expressly incorporated into this Judgment.
- 10. Without affecting in any way the finality of this Judgment, and pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h), the Court reserves continuing and exclusive jurisdiction for purposes of administering, interpreting, implementing, effectuating, and enforcing the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation, the Plan of Allocation and distribution of the Settlement Fund, and matters within the scope of the Final Approval Order and this Judgment, and matters relating thereto.
- 11. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 27, 2023

Ethán P. Schulman

Judge of the Superior Court

CASE NO. CGC-22-598995

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.251)

I, Ericka Larnauti, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On October 27, 2023, I electronically served the attached document via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Dated: October 27, 2023

Brandon E. Riley, Clerk

By:

Ericka Larnauti, Deputy Clerk